

# NGGPS Sea Ice Modeling Workshop

Ligia Bernardet  
NOAA/ESRL/GSD & CU/CIRES  
Developmental Testbed Center

<http://www.dtcenter.org/events/workshops16/seaice/>

# Sea Ice Modeling Workshops

- **Context:** Back-to-back ONR Sea State and NGGPS sea-ice wkshps
- **Date and location:** 2-4 February 2016 at NCAR, Boulder, CO
- **NGGPS sea-ice modeling workshop committee**
  - J. Intrieri (NOAA, ESRL), M. Holland (NCAR), B. Grumbine (NOAA EMC), C. Bitz (U. Washington), R. Allard (NRL), and A. Mariotti (NOAA OAR/CPO), Eugene Petrescu (NOAA NWS AK)
- **Goals:** Review state-of-art and lessons from ONR SeaState initiative, candidate models for NGGPS, selection criteria, predictability, performance, skill metrics, testing considerations, R&D needs & opportunities for coordination, recommendations on the selection process

# Participants: 65 registered



U. Washington  
U. Toronto  
U. Maryland  
Princeton U.  
Naval PS

UKMO  
U. Reading  
U. Toronto  
Environment Canada

DTC  
NCAR  
CU/CIRES  
NSIDC  
NASA GMAO

NRL  
ONR  
LANL  
US Natl Ice Center

NOAA: NCEP/EMC, ESRL GSD, ESRL PSD, GLERL, CPO, NWS Alaska

# Review of deliverables for NGGPS

- **Sea ice model for a variety of time and spatial scales**
  - 5, 16, 30 days + beyond
  - O (1km) – O (25 km): NWP through seasonal, including ensemble
- **Number of sea-ice and ocean models at NCEP**
  - Hendrik: NCEP/UMAC supporting streamlining production suite.  
Unification of models IF it makes sense (could retain more than one model)
- **Seeking a fully coupled, community system**
  - Atmosphere, ocean, sea ice, waves etc.
- **Operationally stable**
  - No blow ups in middle of the night
- **Need decision on sea-ice model by end of FY16 (Sep 2016)**
  - Do not close the door to down-selected models – further test in coupled mode may bring more information

# ONR Sea State & BL Physics of new Arctic

- Field campaign collected comprehensive obs
- Several synergistic short-term NWP efforts, such as
  - **NRL:** 2-km CICE+ HYCOM+WW3 forced by 15-km COAMPS
  - **ESRL-RASM:** CICE + mixed-layer ocean + 10-km WRF

## Verification

- Need for unconventional metrics
- Address sources of errors (IC, fix files, parameters, model)
- Forecast post-processing is critical



# Community Modeling

- Community models bring large potential for R2O: diverse group working on common problems
- A community model is a model used by community, not simply a model with code made available to community
- HWRF, MOM6, and CESM are examples of community codes, supported by DTC, GFDL, NCAR, respectively
- Requirements include open governance, code management that fosters contributions, modularity, support, documentation, peer-to-peer involvement
- Use of a community model by NCEP does not guarantee R2O:
  - Need to focus on common problems (funding helps)
  - Need relevant testing harness

# Predictability: seeking single sea ice model with predictability at all time and spatial scale

From Cecilia Bitz (U. Washington)

## Sea ice – autocorrelation timescales

- sea ice thickness distribution – year or so
- melt ponds – a few months
- floes size distribution – a month? (my guess)
- anisotropy (lead orientation) – a week

## Subseasonal forecast (2-3 weeks):

Initialized with the current thickness, concentration, and floe & melt pond size statistics. The key external conditions that will determine the fast evolution is wind anomalies, and to a lesser extent SST anomalies. Forecast is primarily a coupled atmosphere-ice problem (with correct SST ICs).

Initialization is key!

# Ice Models and Modeling Systems

## Ice Models

Simplified  
physics

- **NWS Drift & KISS Models**- B. Grumbine (NWS NCEP)

Sophisticated  
physics

- **LANL CICE** – A. Turner (LANL)
- **UW PIOMAS** – A. Schweiger (UW)
- **GFDL SIS2** – M. Bushuk (NOAA GFDL) (uses some CICE physics)

## Modeling Systems

- **U.S. Navy ACNFS/GOFS 3.1** – P. Posey (NRL) [HYCOM+CICE + offline atmos]
- **NCEP CFS v2** – X. Wu (NCEP) [GSM+MOM4+SIS]
- **NCEP -CFS v3** - D. Bailey (NCAR)[NEMS+GSM+MOM+CICE]
- **Canadian RIOPS** - Fred DuPont (EC) [NEMO+CICE]



# Recommendation

- **Tradeoffs**

1. Compare forecast results from various models?
2. Use other criteria? Existence of community, documentation, support, etc.
  - Most sea ice models have state-of-the-art physics and are similar
  - Instead of investing in intercomparison, invest on testing/developing one model

- **Recommendation: test and possibly adopt CICE due to its extensive use in the community and excellent documentation and community resources**

- **Issues**

- Intellectual property issues need to be addressed to make CICE a true community model - Governance must support NGGPS needs
- Difference in grid staggering between ice/ocean/atmosphere can lead to undesirable results

# Next steps

- **Short term (6 months)**

- Workshop report will be prepared by committee and collaborators
- Formation of tiger team to define and conduct CICE testing

- **Long term**

- Continued testing and evaluation
  - Tap onto community scientists using variety of models
- Observations for verification and DA: expand use
- DA: critical for improving short-term NWP
- More sophisticated vx/diag metrics that provide feedback to model developers (processes) and end users
- Ensembles
- Artic Testbed